UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	<u>D</u>	istrict of New	Jersey	
	United States of America v. MICHELLE DAVIS Defendant)	Case No.	13-cr-754(MLC)
	DETENTIO	N ORDER P	ENDING TR	RIAL
require	After conducting a detention hearing under that the defendant be detained pending trial.	the Bail Refo	orm Act, 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
		t I—Findings		
\Box (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense de			
	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or loc	cal offense tha	at would have	e been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 for which the prison term is 10 years	U.S.C. § 3156 or more.	6(a)(4)or an o	ffense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	\Box an offense for which the maximum s	entence is dea	nth or life imp	prisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum pris	son term of te	n years or mo	ore is prescribed in
				*
	☐ a felony committed after the defenda described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(4)			
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of viole	ence but invol	ves:	
	☐ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm	n or destructiv	e device or a	ny other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S	.C. § 2250		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was of federal, state release or local offense.	committed wh	ile the defend	dant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapse	ed since the	☐ date of co	onviction
	from prison for the offense described in fi			
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a safety of another person or the communit	rebuttable pre	esumption thand that the de	at no condition will reasonably assure the efendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alter	native Findin	ngs (A)	
口(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant ha	as committed	an offense

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		District of New Jersey	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
□ (2)		I the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assured the safety of the community.	
		Alternative Findings (B)	
\Box (1)	There is a serious risk that the	defendant will not appear.	
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
		I— Statement of the Reasons for Detention rmation submitted at the detention hearing establishes by □ clear and	
		ace of the evidence that	
Defenda applicab	ant consents to detention at this tirule statute and case law.	me without prejudice to he right to apply for bail in the future under the	
	Par	rt III—Directions Regarding Detention	
in a corr pending order of	ections facility separate, to the ex appeal. The defendant must be a United States Court or on request of	custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody fforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility states marshal for a court appearance.	
Date:	1/27/2014	Jan Scheide	
		Judge's Signature	
		Hon. Joel Schneider, U.S.M.J.	
		Name and Title	